

					ry Boulevard		CR 46A				R 46			US 17-92					
			Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 4	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 4	Alt. 5	Alt. 6	Alt. 7	Alt. 8
Summary of Impacts ¹	No- Build	I-4 Mainline	Base	SPUI	GS – DDI	DDI w/Lake Emma Road Connector	Base Geometry	CFI	DDI	Base w/ Left Turn	Ramp Spur from I-4 EB to Roundabout	Base	Diamond	SPUI	Diamond Loop	SPUI - T	Partial Cloverleaf	GS - DDI	Elevated TUDI
Roadway ROW Area to be acquired (Acres)	0	2	0	0	0	4.2	0	2	4.2	0	0	0	9.8	9.8	9.8	4.7	13.4	8.4	7.8
Pond ROW Area to be acquired (Acres) ²	0	17.27	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.84
Wetland Impacts (Acres)	0	0.07 - Wetlands 6.75 –Surface Waters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.47	6.17	6.28	6.25	4.05	3.59	4.60	6.60 Wetlands 4.46 - Stormwater Pond
Floodplain Impacts	0	6.43 ac-ft.	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Impacted Noise Sensitive Sites	0	140 Noise Sensitive Sites	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Section 4(f) Properties	0	One park, two trails and 4 historic resources (3 NRHP eligible)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential Historic Sites	0	30 historic structures constructed before 1971 within APE ³ , of which 3 are NRHP eligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Potential Contamination Sites & Risk Rating	0	19 - Low	2 – Low	2 – Low	2 – Low	4 – Low		2 – Low	2 – Low	4 – Low	4 – Low	1 – Low	1 – Low	1 – Low		2 – Low	6 – Low	4 – Low	3 – Low
		2 - Med	3 – Med	3 – Mod	3 – Med	3 – Med				7 – Med	7 – Med					1 – Med	2 – Med	2 – Med	1 – Med
		1 - High Pond 300 ⁴ , FPC 300-A ⁴ , FPC 300- B ⁴ & Swale 313A - Med								1 – High	1 – High								
		Pond 307 ⁵ , 308 ⁵ - High																	
Potential to Improve Traffic Operations ⁶	Low	High	Low	Medium	_6	High	Low	High	High	High	High	Low	_6	_6	_6	_6	High	High	High
Pedestrian Accommodations	Some Areas		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bicycle Accommodations	No ⁷	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Parcels Impacted	0	23	0	0	0	4	0	13	16	0	0	0	7	7	7	7	19	11	10
Relocations	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
Constructability		High	High	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	Low	High
Bridges Area (SF)	U None	113,013 \$220 M	\$6,471 \$31 M	52,000 \$26 M	71,273 \$35 M	67,340 \$47 м	47,084 \$26 M		135,990 ¢50 м	17,818 \$10 M	17,818 \$11 M	65,039 \$23 M	97,930 \$42 M	169,106 \$63 M	149,458 \$50 M	176,641 \$67 M	340,059 \$125 M	548,164 \$183 M	367,330 \$131 M
Construction Cost ⁸ Notes:					\$35 M	\$47 M	\$26 M	\$59 M	\$59 M	\$10 M		\$23 M	\$42 M	\$63 M	\$59 M		\$125 M	\$183 M	φιστινι
	Data provided is a work in progress and may be updated or replaced. Abbreviations: CFI - Continuous Flow Intersection, DDI - Diverging Diamond Interchange, GS DDI - Grade Separated Diverging Diamond Interchange, SPUI - Single Point Urban Interchange, TUDI- Tight Urban Diamond Interchange, APE – Area of Potential Effect, NRHP - National Register of Historic Places. Shaded alternative columns designates the recommended alternative for each interchange. Table illustrates impacts from the proposed improvements to 1-4 for the build alternative and comparatively shows any additional impacts from the various interchange alternative options. ² Recommended pond sites as determined in the Pond Siting Report, August 2016. ³ APE includes area within existing ROW along 1-4, within 330' from proposed pond footprints plus 100' buffer. ⁴ Within or near Ground Water Contamination Plume. ⁵ Proximity to known Contamination Site #155 which involves heavy metals. ⁶ Traffic operational analyses were not completed for alternatives dfrom further consideration due to geometric/design constraints, operational deficiencies, inter-agency coordination indicating other preferences and/or being cost-prohibitive. ⁷ Existing conditions provide paved shoulders/unmarked bicycle lanes in some locations.														storic Places.				

EVALUATION MATRIX



